

Toxicity of three pesticides on larval instars of *Osmia cornuta*: preliminary results

Donato TESORIERO, Bettina MACCAGNANI, Fabrizio SANTI, Giorgio CELLI

Dipartimento di Scienze e Tecnologie Agroambientali, Università di Bologna, Italy

Abstract

The effects of three pesticides on the preimaginal development of *Osmia cornuta* (Latreille) were investigated. All three pesticides are considered harmless to adult bees: two of them, Strobry® (a.i. kresoxim-methyl) and Cuprocaffaro/WG® (a.i. copper oxychloride) are fungicides, and one, Tecomag® (*Quassia amara* extract), is an insecticide.

Eggs/larvae of *O. cornuta*, provided with their maternal provision, were placed inside gelatine capsules. The following groups, each of them exposed to a different treatment, were established: 30 eggs/larvae, the maternal provision being added with 1 µl Strobry® at field dose (14 g/hl); 29 eggs/larvae, the maternal provision being added with 1 µl Cuprocaffaro/WG® at field dose (100 g/hl); 29 eggs/larvae, the maternal provision being added with 1 µl Tecomag® at field dose (400 cc/hl); 30 eggs/larvae, the maternal provision being added with 1 µl of deionised water (untreated control); 20 eggs/larvae were left on their maternal provision and transferred in gelatine capsules, thus acting as non-manipulated control. The toxic impact of the three pesticides has been evaluated by recording and comparing the final mortality in each group, i.e. percent larvae that did not finish cocoon spinning.

Tecomag® showed the most toxic effect (82.8% final mortality), followed by copper oxychloride (44.8% mortality). The final mortality of eggs/larvae treated with Strobry® (13.3%) did not differ significantly from the one recorded for both the untreated and the non-manipulated controls (10.0% and 9.9%, respectively). Strobry may thus be considered harmless to *O. cornuta* larvae. No manipulation damage was evidenced, being the mortality of the non-manipulated control group identical to the water-treated control.

Key words: *Osmia cornuta*, larvae, kresoxim-methyl, copper oxychloride, *Quassia amara*, pear pollination.

Introduction

Fungicides have relatively low toxicity for bees, thus spraying of crops during bloom is allowed and for most plant protection products the prevention time, i.e. the time elapsed from spraying, is about one hour (Kubik *et al.*, 1999). However, even though fungicides may not affect bees, residues can be found in pollen grains and nectar collected by bees from treated plants (Kubik *et al.*, 1999). The progeny may thus be exposed to contaminated provisions with severe risks for its development. For example it has been reported that the fungicide Captan has morphogenetic effects on adult honeybees exposed as larvae, e.g. very small adults, wing malformations, in some cases wingless, stunted bodies, crippled legs and wings (Jay, 1964; Atkins and Kellum, 1986). All these effects are likely to severely affect the ability of the adults to perform duties within the colony and forage effectively and were observed at realistic levels of exposure. It is also possible that foragers are ejected from the colony after foraging on some fungicides treated crops as a result of chemicals within the formulation altering the perception of the environmental cues on which bees rely for nestmate recognition (Thompson, in press). However, this kind of effect cannot be detected in laboratory studies.

Susceptibility to a compound can also vary among various bee species because of morphological and physiological differences and large differences in toxicity to one species appear within the same insecticide category (Johansen, 1971; Ahmad and Johansen, 1973; Tasei, 2002).

In Northern Italy several studies evidenced that wild

bees are affected by intensive agricultural management, especially by the use of chemicals for crop protection (Radeghieri *et al.*, 1998; Porrini *et al.*, 1999). Recent studies found that *Osmia cornuta* (Latreille) (Hymenoptera Megachilidae) can perform a good pollination service in pear orchards (Maccagnani *et al.*, 2003), but its foraging activity is seriously threatened by the use of fungicides during pear flowering and its reproductive period is abruptly interrupted by the use of insecticides for *Hoplocampa* spp control immediately after pear flowering. For some pesticides their toxicity to immatures of other Megachilid bee species is known (Torchio, 1983; Tasei and Carré, 1985). We thus decided to make a preliminary investigation on the toxicity effects of three pesticides on preimaginal instars of *O. cornuta*. The pesticides tested were two fungicides, Strobry® (kresoxim-mehtyl) and Cuprocaffaro/WG® (copper oxychloride), commonly used on pear in Integrated Pest Management in the Emilia-Romagna Region (Italy), and one insecticide, Tecomag® (*Quassia amara* L.) (Simaroubaceae), used in organic farming.

Materials and methods

In the middle of May 2002, eggs and larvae of *O. cornuta* were retrieved from pedotrophic nests placed in net tunnels of brussels sprouts for pollination service. *O. cornuta* provisions were carefully separated from their egg/larva and transferred into gelatine capsules (type "000"). A 1 µL-drop of test solution was applied in the centre of the upper surface of the provisions. As soon as the droplet had been absorbed by the provision, the

egg/larva was repositioned in the centre of the upper surface of the provision.

The following groups, each of them exposed to a different treatment, were established:

- 30 eggs/larvae, the provision being added with 1 µl Stroby® at field dose (14 g/hl);
- 29 eggs/larvae, the provision being added with 1 µl Cuprocaffaro/WG® at field dose (100 g/hl);
- 29 eggs/larvae, the provision being added with 1 µl Tecomag® at field dose (400 cc/hl);
- 30 eggs/larvae, the provision being added with 1 µl of deionised water (untreated control);
- 20 eggs/larvae were left on their maternal provisions and placed in gelatine capsules to evaluate the mortality due to manipulation in the treated groups, i. e. the removal and the repositioning of eggs/larvae (non-manipulated control).

The groups' composition on the base of the various pre-imaginal instars is reported in table 1.

Provisions with eggs/larvae were incubated in complete darkness at 23±1°C, R.H.= 70±10%. Development of immatures was checked regularly (up to four times a day during the first larval instars). For each treatment, individuals that died during the various larval instars were counted until the end of cocoon spinning. χ^2 -Test in contingency tables 2x2 was used to compare final mortality rates (percent larvae that did not finish cocoon spinning) in the three Pesticide treatments with those in the two Control treatments.

Results

Final mortality was highest for eggs/larvae exposed to Tecomag® (82.8%), followed by Cuprocaffaro /WG® (44.8%) and finally by Stroby® (13.2%).

Mortality rates recorded for both Tecomag® and Cuprocaffaro /WG® were significantly higher than those registered for the two Controls (untreated and non-manipulated), whereas no significant differences emerged between eggs/larvae exposed to Stroby® and the two Controls (table 2). Also, mortality in the two Control groups did not differ significantly from each other.

Because of the high heterogeneity of the initial eggs/larvae population (table 1), mortality rates of the different larval instars have not been reported.

Discussion and conclusions

Quassia amara L. (Simaroubaceae) (bitterwood) is a small tropical tree. Chemicals are extracted from bark and leaves and are usually used on pear against larvae of *Hoplocampa* spp. (Hymenoptera Tentredinidae) (Vergnani *et al.*, 2001). Quassin (a. i. of Tecomag®) has insecticide and larvicide properties (Grenand *et al.*, 1987) and acts by ingestion and contact. The high final mortality recorded for the Tecomag® treatment indicates that sprays against *Hoplocampa* spp. on pear could compromise the survival of *O. cornuta* larval progeny (table 2). Even though Tecomag® may be used by organic and IPM growers in Italy, its application could compromise the reproductive activity of *O. cornuta*.

Copper oxychloride (Cuprocaffaro /WG®) is used as a fungicide and also against fire-blight, *Erwinia amylovora* (Burrill) Winslow *et al.*, on pear (Aysan *et al.*, 1999). It acts on fungi spores through mechanisms of cellular toxicity on the respiratory processes and denatures also the cellular membrane. Also the use of this product should probably be avoided, since high final mortality was recorded (table 2). In a previous cage study on red rape (Ladurner *et al.*, 2002), a high larval *O. cornuta* mortality was recorded. All caged plants were treated with Klartan® (tau-fluvalinate), a pyrethroid without side effects on bees and usually used against aphids (Muccinelli, 2000), and copper. It's well known that copper salts inhibit pollen grain germinability; recent studies based on comparative histochemical analysis of the pear pollen from anthers, *O. cornuta* provisions and *O. cornuta* digestive tracts showed that osmotic processes and plasmolysis of pollen grains are essential for the pollen to be digested in the intestine (Ladurner *et al.* 1999; Cresti *et al.* 2001; Maccagnani *et al.* 2002). This preliminary study seems to indicate that copper may affect pollen viability and thus the survival of *O. cornuta* larvae.

Also Stroby® (kresoxim-methyl) is used on pear against various fungal diseases (Politi, 1997). It is a wide action spectrum fungicide characterised by high antispore activity. The Stroby® treatment seems not to harm *O. cornuta* immatures. The use of this fungicide during bloom could thus be compatible with the establishment of a permanent population of *O. cornuta* in a pear orchard.

No manipulation damage was evidenced, being the mortality of the manipulated control group identical to the untreated control.

Table 1. Number of eggs/larvae exposed to the different treatments.

Treatment	Eggs	1 st larval instar	2 nd larval instar	3 rd larval instar	4 th larval instar	Total larval instar
Tecomag®			4	17	8	29
Cuprocaffaro/WG®	3	3	4	3	17	29
Stroby®			4	8	18	30
Manipulated control			4	12	14	30
Untreated control	1	10	9			20

Table 2. Final mortality in each treatment (%) (different letters indicate statistically significant differences; $p < 0.05$).

Treatment	Final mortality (%)
Tecomag®	82.8 a
Cuprocaffaro/WG®	44.8 b
Stroby®	13.2 c
Untreated control	10.0 c
Non-manipulated control	9.9 c

Acknowledgements

We are thankful to Dr Edith Ladurner for her critical reviewing of the paper.

Research carried out within the Project A.M.A (B.H.E. - Bee Honey Environment) and supported by the Ministry of Agricultural Politics. Contribution n. 238.

References

- AHMAND Z., JOHANSEN C., 1973.- Selective toxicity of carbo-phenation and trichlorfon to the honey bee and the alfalfa leafcutting bee.- *Environmental Entomology* 2: 27-30.
- AYSAN Y., TOKGONUL S., CINAR O., KUDEN A., MOMOL M.T., SAYGILI H., 1999.- Biological, chemical, cultural control methods and determination resistant cultivars to fire blight in pear orchards in the Eastern Mediterranean region of Turkey.- In: *Proceedings of the Eight International Workshop on Fire Blight*, October 12-15, 1998, Kusadasi, Turkey. *Acta Horticulture*, 489: 549-552.
- ATKINS E.L., KALLUM D., 1986.- Comparative morphogenetic and toxicity studies on the effect of pesticides on honeybee brood.- *Journal of Apicultural Research*, 25: 242-255.
- CRESTI L., NEPI M., MACCAGNANI B., LADURNER E., PACINI E., 2001.- Pear pollen digestion by larvae of *Osmia cornuta* (Latreille) (Hymenoptera: Megachilidae).- In: *Proceedings of the International Congress of Apimondia*, Durban, South Africa, September 28- October 1, 2001.
- GRENAND P., MORETTI C., JACQUEMIN H., 1987.- *Pharmacopées traditionnels en Guyane: Créoles, Palikur, Wayãpi*.- Editorial I-ORSTROM, Coll. Mem. No. 108, Paris.
- JAY S. C., 1964.- Starvation studies of larval honey bees.- *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 42: 455-462.
- JOHANSEN C.A., 1971.- Toxicity of field-weathered insecticide residues to four kinds of bees.- *Environmental Entomology*, 1(3): 393-394.
- KUBIK M., NOWACKI J., PIDEK A., WARAKOMSKA Z., MICHALCZUK L., GOSZCZYNSKI W., 1999.- Pesticide residues in bee products collected from cherry trees protected during blooming period with contact and systemic fungicides.- *Apidologie*, 30: 521-532.
- LADURNER E., MACCAGNANI B., TESORIERO D., NEPI M., FELICOLI A., 1999.- Laboratory rearing of *Osmia cornuta* Latreille (Hymenoptera Megachilidae) on artificial diet.- *Bollettino dell'Istituto di Entomologia "Guido Grandi" dell'Università degli Studi di Bologna*, 53: 133-146.
- LADURNER E., SANTI F., MACCAGNANI B., MAINI S., 2002.- Pollination of caged hybrid seed red rape with *Osmia cornuta* and *Apis mellifera* (Hymenoptera, Megachilidae and Apidae).- *Bulletin of Insectology*, 55: 9-11.
- MACCAGNANI B., LADURNER E., TESORIERO D., SANTI F., MAINI S., NEPI M., CRESTI L., PACINI E., 2002.- Ricerche per la messa a punto di un allevamento in cattività di *Osmia cornuta* (Latreille) (Hymenoptera, Megachilidae).- In: *Proceedings of the meeting "Il ruolo della ricerca in apicoltura"*, Istituto Nazionale di Apicoltura, Bologna, Italy, March 14-16, 2002 (SABATINI A.G., BOLCHI SERINI G., FRILLI F., PORRINI C., Eds), 409-414.
- MACCAGNANI B., LADURNER E., SANTI F., BURGIO G., 2003 - *Osmia cornuta* (Latreille) (Hymenoptera Megachilidae) as a pollinator of pear (*Pyrus communis*): fruit- and seed-set.- *Apidologie*, 34: 207-216.
- MUCCINELLI M., 2000.- Prontuario dei fitofarmaci.- IX Edition, Calderini - Edagricole, Bologna, Italy.
- POLITI A., 1997.- Kresoxim-methyl (Stroby registered WG): fungicida di nuova famiglia chimica per la difesa del melo e del pero.- *Informatore Fitopatologico*, 47 (12): 22-28.
- PORRINI C., ROMAGNOLI F., VERSARI S., MARINO A., 1999.- I pronubi selvatici come indicatori della biocomplexità ambientale.- In: *Atti del V Convegno Nazionale Biodiversità: biodiversità e sistemi ecocompatibili*, September 9-10, 1999, Caserta, Italy. Regione Campania - SeSIRCA, Napoli (SANTANGELO I., Ed.), 13: 676-683.
- RADEGHIERI P., ROMAGNOLI F., VERSARI S., PORRINI C., 1998.- The bumblebees in the Forlì-Cesena province: census from 1988 to 1996.- *Insect Social Life*, 2: 157-162.
- TASEI J.N., 2002.- Impact of agrochemicals on non-*Apis* bees.- In: *Honey Bees: Estimating the Environmental Impact of Chemicals*, (DEVILLERS J., PHAM-DELÈGUE M.-H., Eds), London and New York, 101-126.
- TASEI J. N., CARRÉ S., 1985.- Effets du traitement de lucerne en fleurs (*Medicago sativa* L.) avec de la deltaméthrine et de la phosalone sur l'abeille solitaire: *Megachile rotundata* F. (Hym., Megachilidae).- *Acta Ecologica/Ecol. Applic.*, 6 (2): 165-173.
- THOMPSON M.H.- Behavioural effects of pesticides in bees. Their potential for use in risk assessment.- (In press).
- TORCHIO P.F., 1983.- The effects of field applications of naled and trichlorfon on the alfalfa leafcutting bee, *Megachile rotundata* (Fabricius).- *Journal of Kansas Entomological Society*, 56 (1): 62-68.
- VERGNANI S., ARDIZZONI M., FERIOLO G., PASQUALINI E., 2001.- Efficacia di alcuni insetticidi nel contenimento di *Hoplocampa brevis*.- *Informatore Agrario*, 57 (10): 87-89.

Corresponding author: Donato TESORIERO, Dipartimento di Scienze e Tecnologie Agroambientali (DiSTA), Area Entomologia, Università di Bologna, viale G. Fanin 42, 40127 Bologna, Italy. E-mail: dtesoriero@entom.agrsci.unibo.it